

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/781,481	02/18/2004	Kie Jin Lee	930077-2006	3113		
20999 75	590 05/05/2005	EXAMINER				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			KO, T	KO, TONY		
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	'ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2878			
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/781,481		LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tony Ko		2878				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ply within the statutor d will apply and will extended te, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days spire SIX (6) MONTHS from t tion to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co				
Status			·					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	n.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.							
6)🖂								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been r	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been r	eceived in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority document	s have been receive	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Burea	•	• • •		•			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifie	d copies not receive	<b>d.</b>				
Attachmen	(f(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	│	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	(O. 450)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/14/05</u> .	8) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PT)	U-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/781,481

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anlage (U.S. Patent 6,809,533).

Regarding claim 1, 3-7, 9-12, 14, and 16, Anlage discloses (Figs. 1 and 2) a nearfield microscope comprising: a wave source (165) with a variable frequency; a waveguide resonator (190) through which the wave emitted from the wave source propagates; a probe (130), which perforates an outer wall of the waveguide resonator and by which the wave that propagates through the waveguide resonator interacts with a sample (125); and a detector (155), which detects the wave that has interacted with the sample. Anlage also discloses a portion of the probe inside the waveguide resonator has a linear shape and a loop shape (a rectangle shape). Anlage also discloses the probe is formed of metal, a dielectric material, or a magnetic substance (coaxial).

Anlage discloses the invention set forth above. Anlage's invention under normal operation would satisfy the equation in claim 7 hence claim 7 is rejected. Anlage also discloses a slit is formed in the waveguide resonator, and the probe is movable along the slit. Anlage discloses the invention set forth above. Anlage's invention under

normal operation would satisfy equation in claim 10 and a wave with a frequency greater than the cut-off frequency is used. Anlage also discloses the near field microscope when a resonance frequency and a volume before the probe is inserted into the waveguide resonator are f.sub.0 and v.sub.0, respectively, and a change in volume of the probe after the probe is inserted into the waveguide resonator is delta v, a change in resonance frequency f of the waveguide resonator is given by: (f-f0)/f0 = -2\*delta v/v0. Anlage also discloses the probe is a hybrid probe manufactured using partial two-step etching. Anlage discloses the wave source emits microwaves or millimeter-waves. Anlage also discloses the probe portion having the loop shape is disposed parallel to an advancing direction of the wave.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anlage in \_\_\_\_\_view of Davidov (U.S. Patent 5,781,018).

4. Anlage also the invention set forth above, Anlage does not disclose a tuner which is movably connected to one end of the waveguide resonator and adjusts a length of the waveguide resonator. Davido discloses a tuner (64), which is movably connected to

one end of the waveguide resonator and adjusts a length of the waveguide resonator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach a tuner on the resonator to accurately adjust for the signal frequency.

- 5. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anlage.
- Regarding claim 8, Anlage discloses the invention set forth above, Anlage does not disclose the probe is disposed in a position that satisfies Zf = 3d/2p, Zi=d/(2\*p). It is design choice to place the probe in a position that satisfies Zf = 3d/2p, Zi=d/(2\*p). it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place the probe in a position that satisfies Zf = 3d/2p, Zi=d/(2\*p) to achieve desired electromotive force.
- Regarding claim 15, Anlage discloses the invention set forth above, Anlage does not disclose the wave emitted from the wave source is  $\lambda$ , the length of the waveguide resonator changes by  $\lambda/4$  increments. It is design choice to change the length by  $\lambda/4$ . it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the length of the waveguide by  $\lambda/4$  increment to efficiently find the desired length which would result in best signal strength.
- 8. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anlage in view of Anlage (U.S. Patent 5,900,618), here in after, Anlage '618.
- 9. Regarding claim 13, Anlage discloses the invention set forth above. Anlage does not disclose a lock-in amplifier, which minimizes noise by improving a signal-to-noise ratio between the wave source and the waveguide resonator. Anlage 618' discloses in

a lock-in amplifier (603) which minimizes noise by improving a signal to noise ratio between the wave source and the waveguide resonator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place a lock-in amplifier, which minimizes noise by improving a signal-to-noise ratio between the wave source and the waveguide resonator to improve signal quality.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Ko whose telephone number is 571-272-1926.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TKO** 

DAVID PORTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800